DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 094 190 CE 001 711

AUTHOR McFarland, Barry P.

TITLE Job Analysis of the Medical Service Careen Field.

Interim Report. August 1, 1972-June 1, 1973.

INSTITUTION Air Force Human Resources Lab., Lackland AFB, Tex.

Occupational Research Div.

REPORT NO AFERL-TR-73-36

PUB DATE Jan 74.

NOTE 18p.: Pages 10 and 14 are marginally legible

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.50 PLUS POSTAGE

DESCRIPTORS Cluster Analysis: *Health Personnel; Health Services;

*Job Analysis; Job Skills; Medical Services;

*Military Personnel; Nursing; Occupational Clusters;

Occupational Information; *Occupational Surveys;

Statistical Data; *Task Analysis

IDENTIFIERS Air Force; *Medical Corpsman

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to complete a job analysis of the Air Force's Medical Service Career Field. This is the first in a series of reports designed to compare and make recommendations concerning the role of the Nurse and Medical Corpsman in the Air Force's health care delivery system. A sample of 1,996 airmen in the Medical Service Career Field was used for the analysis. The data were analyzed by use of the Comprehensive Occupational Data Analysis Programs (CODAP). Meaningful job types were identified, and recommendations for changing the structure of the Medical Service Career Ladder were made based on the occupational analysis. (Included are diagrams of major clusters and job types and two appendixes of statistics on task performance and task differences.) (Author/BP)



AFHRL-TR-73-36

AIR FORCE

JOB ANALYSIS OF THE MEDICAL SERVICE CAREER FIELD

By

Barry P. McFarland, 1st Lt, USAF

OCCUPATIONAL RESEARCH DIVISION Lackland Air Force Base, Texas 78236

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSABLY OFFEDER STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE SENTOFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

January 1974

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

LABORATORY

AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND

BROOKS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS 78235

NOTICE

When US Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This interim report was submitted by Occupational Research Division, Air Force Human Resources Laboratory, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas 78236, under project 7734, with the Hq, Air Force Human Resources Laboratory (AFSC), Brooks Air Force Base, Texas 78235.

This report has been reviewed and cleared for open publication and/or public release by the appropriate Office of Information (OI) in accordance with AFR 190-17 and DoDD 5230.9. There is no objection to unlimited distribution of this report to the public at large, or by DDC to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

This technical report has been reviewed and approved.

RAYMOND E. CHRISTAL, Chief Occupational Research Division

Approved for publication.

HAROLD E. FISCHER, Colonel, USAF Commander



Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
AFHRL-TR-73-36		
4. TITLE (and Subtitio) JOB ANALYSIS OF THE MEDICAL SERVICE (CAREER FIELD	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim 1 Aug 72 - 1 Jun 73
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Barry P. McFarland, 1st Lt, USAF		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Occupational Research Division Air Force Human Resources Laboratory (AFSC) Lackland AFB, Texas 78236		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 77340115
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
Hq Air Force Human Resources Laboratory		January 1974
Brooks Air Force Base, Texas 78235		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dilleren	t from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		Unclassified
•		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

This research was carried out under Project 7734, Development of Methods for Describing, Evaluating and Structuring Air Force Occupations; Task 773401, Development of Methods for Collecting, Analyzing and Reporting Information Describing Air Force Specialties. (Continued on reverse side)

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

cluster analysis

Medical Service Career Field

CODAP

occupational analysis

hierarchical grouping

job types

medical personnel

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

The purpose of this study was to complete a job analysis of the Air Force's Medical service Career Field. This is the first in a series of reports designed to compare and make recommendations concerning the role of the Nurse and Medical Corpsman in the Air Force's health care delivery system. A sample of 1,996 airmen in the Medical Service Career Field (AFSC 902X0, 90292) was used for the analysis. The data were analyzed by use of the Comprehensive Occupational Data Analysis Programs (CODAP). Meaningful job types were identified and recommendations for changing the structure of the Medical Service Career Ladder were made based on the occupational analysis.



D 1 FORM 1473

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

18. Supplementary Notes (continued)

The job inventory for the Medical Service Career Field was constructed and administered by Lifson, Wilson, Ferguson, and Winick, Inc., under contract F41609-70-C-0043 monitored by the Air Force Human Resources Laboratory's Occupational Research Division (7734-01-12).

Consolidated descriptions of job type groups, together with other pertinent printout data, are available to qualified requesters on a loan basis from the Air Force Human Resources Laboratory's Occupational Research Division/PEOA, Lackland AFB, Texas 78236.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
i.	Introduction	3
	Definition of Utilization Field	
	Definition of Occupational Analysis	
	The Job Inventory	3
II.	Identification of Job Types	4
III.	Results	4
	Emergency Services - Outpatient GRP 123	4
	Ward Services Cluster - Inpatient GRP 268	5
	OB Ward-Inpatient - GRP 64	5
,	Air Evacuation - GRP 151	6
	Other Patient Services - GRP 21	6
	Central Sterile Supply - GRP 13	7
IV.	Discussion	7
Refe	erences	11
App	pendix A. Primary Tasks Performed by Major Clusters	13
App	endix B. Task Difference Description Between Groups 132 and 268	15
	LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	
Figur	re	Page
ĺ	Diagram of Major Clusters and Job Types	
	LIST OF TABLES	
Table		Page
	Distribution of Sample Across Major Air Commands	8
2	Distribution of Duty AFSC's For Total Sample	8
3	Grade Distribution of Total Sample	8
4	Task Description for GRP 1-Total Sample	
5	Descriptive Task Data for Major Clusters and Subclusters	
6	Descriptive Background Data for Major Clusters and Subclusters	



JOB ANALYSIS OF THE MEDICAL SERVICE CAREER FIELD

I. INTRODUCTION

This study is part of a comprehensive experimental program developed in cooperation with the Nursing Resources Study Group appointed by the Air Force Surgeon General. The Nursing Resources Study Group was interested in the survey as a source of quantitative, Air Force-wide information on Air Force nurse and medical service specialties for use in determining current and future Air Force nurse requirements. This report is the first of a series of reports designed to analyze the commonality of tasks performed by Air Force nursing and medical service personnel. The purpose of this report was to describe the occupational analysis of a sample of 1.996 airmen in the medical Service Career Field and to place their jobs into meaningful job types. Reports describing the job analysis of nursing personnel are currently in various stages of completion. The final report of this series will consist of a comprehensive comparison of tasks performed by Air Force nursing and medical service personnel with emphasis on possible recommendations for management in regard to delineation of duties and responsibilities within the current structure of the Air Force health care delivery systems.

Definition of Utilization Field

The Medical Service Utilization Field includes the Medical Service Specialist, AFSC 90230/50. the Medical Service Technician, AFSC 90270, and the Medical Service Superintendent, AFSC 90292. According to AFM 39-1, the Medical Service Specialist "Performs technical nursing duties involved in the care and treatment of patients; assists with patient movements by air evacuation and ambulance; and assists with nonflying physical examinations." The 7- and 9-skill level descriptions require administrative and supervisory experience and assign greater responsibility for independent duty. The Medical Service Specialist is required to interact and coordinate with patients, physicians, and nurses to provide a comprehensive, highquality patient care service.

Definition of Occupational Analysis

The Air Force method of occupational analysis makes use of Air Force-wide job surveys for the collection of quantitative data directly from job incumbents who describe their job within the specialty area. In completing the job survey, each

incumbent supplies identification and background data and checks those tasks which are part of his present job. He then rates the tasks he checked on a 7-point scale, indicating the relative amount of time spent on each task compared to all other tasks performed. The ratings range from 1 (very much below average) to 7 (very much above average) with 4 being a mid-point (about average).

The techniques for conducting occupational surveys and analysis are reported in a series of research reports dating back to 1958. Past research and continuing experience with survey data derived from the job task inventory indicate that this technique produces highly reliable information about existing Air Force jobs.

Air Force occupational surveys are authorized under AFM 35-2, Occupational Analysis, and are part of the Air Force Personnel Testing Program. The computer analysis system, Comprehensive Occupational Data Analysis Programs (CODAP), developed for use in the analysis of occupational survey data consists of almost 50,000 program instructions and is fully documented only in technical systems manuals.

The Job Inventory

The job inventory was constructed using the general procedures described by Morsh and Archer (1967). The inventory consisted of 600 task statements grouped under 11 duty headings. Of the 600 tasks, 575 tasks were identical or equivalent to task statements used in the Nurse Utilization Field Job Inventory, AFPT 80-97XX-011. The other 25 tasks were unique to the Medical Service Utilization Field and not performed by nurses. The biographical information included in the survey was kept as similar as possible to the Nurse Utilization Field Inventory.

The job inventory was administered in accordance with AFM 35-2 at each Air Force base and approximately 2,800 completed survey booklets were returned for analysis. From the 2,800 returns, 1,996 cases were randomly selected for inclusion in the job-type analysis. The 1,996 sample size was used because of existing sample size restrictions in the present computing capability of the CODAP system on the IBM 7040 computer. Distributions of cases selected by Command, Duty AFSC, and Grade are included in Tables 1, 2, and 3.



3

II. IDENTIFICATION OF JOB TYPES

As a first step in the analysis, the computer converts each individual's relative time-spent responses (1-7 scale) to percent time ratings. To obtain the percent time ratings, all of an incumbent's time-spent ratings are summed and the total is assumed to represent 100 percent of his time spent on the job. Each rating is divided by the total and the quotient multiplied by 100 to give a percent time spent estimate on each task. For the purpose of organizing jobs into similar units of work, an automated job-clustering computer program was used. This hierarchicalgrouping program (Christal & Ward, 1967) is the basic part of the CODAP system for job analysis. The computer compares each individual with every other individual in the sample in terms of percent time spent on each and every task in the inventory. The computer locates the two persons with the most similar jobs and combines them to form a group with a composite job description. In successive stages, the program adds other members to this group or forms new groups based on similarity in the percent of time spent on tasks description. This procedure is continued until all individuals and groups are combined to form a single group. At each stage of the grouping process an index of homogeneity is calculated. This index, percentage of work overlap of group members, is explained by Archer (1966). The index is an estimate of the overlap of work that would be expected if a member of the group was randomly reassigned to a job in that same group.

A diagram of the results of the hierarchical grouping procedures are included in Figure 1. Each group is identified by a group number indicating the stage in the program during which the group was formed, the overlap value, and number of subjects in the group. For example, GRP 001 was formed at group stage 1, the last stage of the grouping program. The overlap at this stage is 0.3 percent. There are 1,996 individuals in the group. Since it is the last stage, this is equivalent to the total sample.

For those designated groups, the raw data from both the background information and task listing were converted into a form more readily interpretable for identifying job types. Reports obtained included background variables with descriptive statistics for quantifiable data and lists of tasks with percent members performing, and average percent time values for each task. Extracts from the task list report for GRP 001 appear in Table 4. Additional reports, identifying the differences in those tasks performed by the major

job types and the identification of the primary tasks performed by each job type, are included in Appendix A and B.

III. RESULTS

Table 5 presents data for each of the major clusters and subclusters from the task inventory, including the number of members in each group, and the number of tasks representing 25, 50, and 100 percent of total group work time.

In spite of the wide variance in number of tasks appearing in the different percentages of the job, it is interesting to note that no group claimed to perform all of the tasks in the inventory. By comparing the data indicating the number of tasks that represent a given percent of time for the total job, it is clear that GRP's 123, 268, and 55 have the more heterogeneous duties. That is, they perform a much wider variety of tasks than any of the other groups represented.

Table 6 presents data from the background section of the survey for the major clusters and subclusters, including grade, percent assigned in CONUS, average education level, average number of pieces of equipment the incumbent is familiar with, job interest and felt utilization of talents and training. The administrative cluster (GRP 55), as expected, had an extremely high grade structure. Less expected was the high average grade of those individuals assigned to the air evacuation squadrons. The lowest average grade for a group is the OB ward cluster, who also show lesser values of job interest and felt-utilization of talents and training. The emergency services group falls in about the mid-range of the groups in grade structure, but report the most job interest and felt utilization. In contrast members of the central sterile supply group, who also fall in the mid-range of grades, have the lowest values for job interest and felt utilization. Note that there is no significant difference between the average education level of any of these groups.

Brief summary descriptions are presented for each of the major clusters and subclusters, in order from left to right in Figure 1. Each job cluster is identified by both the group number and its respective title.

Emergency Services - Outpatient GRP 123

As indicated in Table 6, members of this group reported a great deal of job interest and feel well utilized in their career field. They perform a



relative wide range of tasks and are familiar with a wide assortment of equipment. The cluster is divided into three subclusters, as indicated in Figure 1. These subclusters represent individuals assigned to the emergency room and ambulance section, to outpatient clinics, and supervisors of these two groups. Listed as follows are representative tasks performed by the emergency service cluster:

Apply bandages

Change dressings

Administer first-aid.

Take and record blood pressures

Answer telephone calls for or from patients or hospital staff members

Clean and maintain equipment

Remove sutures

Take and record pulses, temperatures and respirations

Suture lacerations

Administer intramuscular medications

Approximately 90 percent of the cluster perform each of these tasks and these 10 tasks represent over 10 percent of the time spent by the entire cluster. To summarize the job of the emergency service cluster, they are the first line of health care. They see the patient when he first arrives for treatment. The tasks these specialists perform require a great deal of responsible, professional health care. It is probably because of this responsibility that the emergency service cluster does show such a high degree of job interest and felt utilization of talent and training.

Ward Services Cluster - Inpatient GRP 268

As indicated in Table 5, this group performs a wider variety of tasks than any other group. The average grade is only 3.4, which is in the lower range of all groups. From Figure 1 it can be seen that the ward services cluster is broken into two subclusters: the general ward corpsman and the special ward corpsman. The special ward corpsman is usually identified with one single specialty ward, such as pediatrics, psychiatry, air evacuation staging squadron, or surgery, while the general corpsman was usually assigned to a general medicine ward. Listed as follows are representative tasks performed by the ward services cluster:

Take and record pulses, temperatures and respirations

Take and record blood pressures

Collect food trays or serving units

Make beds

Administer bedpans or urinals

Clean patient care unit

Clean ward utility room

Collect and label specimens such as urine, feces, or sputum from patients

Clean and maintain equipment

Measure and record intake and output

An average 94 percent of all members in the ward services cluster perform each of these tasks. The tasks listed account for just over 9 percent of the total percent time represented by the entire cluster. To summarize the duties of the ward services personnel, they monitor progress of inpatients, provide custodial care for these patients, and perform most of the janitorial duties on the ward.

In the comments section of the job survey many individuals who were assigned as ward corpsmen commented that they were not given enough responsibility and spent too much time performing janitorial duties. This probably accounts for the ratings obtained for the felt utilization and job interest for this group.

OB Ward-Inpatient - GRP 64

The OB ward subcluster, as indicated in Table 6, had the lowest average grade of any of the major clusters or subclusters. They also fell into the lower range on the job interest and felt utilization scales. Their job descriptions indicate that their jobs are a great deal more homogeneous than either the Emergency Service Group or the Ward Services Group. (See Table 5, average number of tasks performed and the number of tasks that account for 25 percent of their time spent) A partial list of representative tasks performed by this subcluster includes:

Take and record pulses, temperatures and respirations

Take and record blood pressures

Collect food trays or serving units

Clean patient care unit

Make beds

Clean ward utility room

Clean and maintain equipment



Fold or count linen

Perform general housekeeping duties

Answer telephone calls for or from patients or hospital staff members.

These taks represent over 18 percent of the total time spent by this group with an average of approximately 78 percent of the members performing each of these tasks. Note the great similarity between these tasks and those listed for the Ward services group. The primary difference between the two groups is that the OB Ward subcluster spends more time performing janitorial tasks and a few specialized tasks common only to the care of female patients and the delivery of babies. The comments section from members of the OB ward subcluster was very similar to that reported for the ward services. The medical service specialists assigned to the OB Ward desire more responsibility and interaction with the patient than they are currently afforded.

Administrative Services Cluster - Inpatient GRP 55

The members of the Administrative Services Cluster feel well utilized and are interested in their jobs (Table 6). They perform a wide range of tasks and are familiar with a wide variety of equipment. Most of this can be accounted for by the higher average grade of this cluster, which indicates more experience and a greater career commitment. Most of the members of this group are in at least their second enlistment and many are in their third, fourth, or fifth enlistment. As can be seen in Figure 1, the cluster consists of two subclusters. One cluster consists of individuas who are assigned to the nursing services section of the hospital, the other is the ward supervisors or NCOICs. The vast majority of the time spent by this group is in monitoring and supervising the performance of other Medical Service Specialists or performing hospital administrative functions. They have little direct patient contact. A representative list of tasks performed are listed as follows:

Answers telephone calls for or from patients or nospital staff members.

Supervise 902X0 or 902X2 personnel

Determine work priorities

Counsel personnel on personal problems

Counsel personnel on performance evaluations or standards

Direct or supervise utilization of equipment and supplies

Evaluate performance of Medical Service personnel

Coordinate work activities with other sections Develop or improve work methods or procedures

Direct or supervise housekeeping activities

These tasks represent over 10 percent of the time spent by this group, with an average of 83 percent of all members in the group performing each of these tasks.

Unlike the supervisor subcluster identified in the emergency service group, these supervisors do not provide any health care directly to the patient. Their jobs consist primarily of clerical and administrative types of tasks.

Air Evacuation - GRP 151

This was a relatively small subcluster of senior NCOs who may be misclassified and would be more accurately assigned with AFSC 901XO, Aeromedical Specialist. These individuals apparently do fly with the Aeromedical Evacuation Squadrons. A list of representative taks performed by this subcluster includes:

Perform preflight check of patient care area on aeromedical aircraft

Enplane or deplane patients during aeromedical evacuation

Serve inflight meals

Prepare aircraft to receive patients

Supervise enplaning or deplaning patients

Prepare medical supplies or equipment for aeromedical evacuation

Supervise the onloading, securing, or offloading of aeromedical supplies or equipment

Secure medical equipment prior to take-off

Supervise preparation of aircraft to receive patients

Plan or provide nursing care in flight.

Each of these tasks is performed by 100 percent of the group members and the tasks represent 21 percent of the total time spent by the entire group.

Other Patient Services - GRP 21

This group consists of three rather heterogeneous subclusters. Note in Figure 1 that the overlap for the cluster is only 13 percent which is significantly smaller than any of the other major



clusters. Two of the clusters, physical examination and allergy and immunization, are clearly outpatient job types while the third, reception, is performed in both outpatient and inpatient job types. Reception includes admitting clerks, for hospitals as well as receptionist at outpatient clinics. The physical examination and allergy and immunizations subclusters can be generally classified as well-patient clinic functions. There is no single set of representative tasks that can describe the entire cluster. Note from Table 6 that job interest and felt utilization of this group is in the lower range of the clusters listed. This most likely is due to the highly repetitive nature of the tasks performed by this group. This hypothesis is further supported by Table 5 which shows that just 38 tasks comprise 50 percent of the total time spent by the cluster. This is especially impressive when you consider the diversity of job types included in this cluster.

Central Sterile Supply - GRP 13

This is a small subcluster whose primary tasks consist of sterilizing instruments, and maintaining adequate equipment and supplies for the wards. They have little or no patient contact. They perform only a few tasks that account for most of their time and do not feel well utilized nor show much job interest. Representative tasks for this group include:

Prepare items for sterilization

Sterilize instruments

Sterilize supplies

Operate distillation equipment

These four tasks represent more than 20 percent of the total time spent by the group; each is performed by an average of 80 percent of the members. Excluding those tasks related to sterilization activities, the tasks performed by this group more closely resemble the job of a Medical Material Specialist (AFSC 915X0) than the Medical Service Specialist. Because of the smaller number of individuals in this group and the lack of commonality of the tasks performed, excluding the three sterilization tasks, it is impossible to make any conclusive recommendations about this job cluster.

IV. DISCUSSION

Nearly all of the major clusters presented in Figure 1 could be clearly dichotomized on the basis of the type of patient receiving the care (i.e., inpatient or outpatient). The outpatient clusters include GRP 123, Emergency Services, and most

of GRP 21, Other Patient Services. Their role in health care is to provide either emergency services (suturing lacerations, applying casts), or maintenance care (giving physical exams and giving allergy and immunization shots). The inpatient clusters include GRP 268, Ward Services, GRP 64, OB Ward, GRP 55, Administrative Services, and a small segment of GRP 21, Other Patient Services. The role of the inpatient care groups appears to be two-fold; first, they monitor the recovery of patients and second, they are responsible for much of the hospital administration.

The differences between tasks performed by the inpatient groups versus the outpatient groups is very clear and dramatic. Representative differences between GRP 123 (Outpatient) and GRP 268 (Inpatient) are presented in Appendix B. These two groups represent 60 percent of the total sample and are most representative of the technical (non-administrative) tasks performed by first-term (78 percent of these groups are first-term airmen).

Having observed the noticeable differences in tasks performed by the Medical Service Specialist assigned to Inpatient Services versus Outpatient Services, it is necessary to consider the impact of dividing the medical service career field into two shredouts, one for outpatient and one for inpatient.

l'irst, consider the impact on patient care. Because the tasks performed in the Medical Service Career Field are technical in nature, the individual assigned to the Inpatient Services for one tour and then to Outpatient Services is faced with having to relearn many skills he has lost since technical school training and, in addition, he must learn new procedures developed since his original schooling. Because of the rapid development in the area of the health services, a second process is also taking place when the individual switches from one of these groups to the other. His previously learned skills are becoming obsolete. This technical obsolescence means that, if required to return to the job of his original assignment, he will not only have to relearn many skills that naturally perish from disuse but also learn totally new technical skills and procedures. This continuous learning and relearning cycle means the patient is not receiving the same quality level of services that could be provided if the Medical Services Career Ladder were divided into outpatient and inpatient shredouts.

It is also expected that providing inpatientoutpatient shredouts for the Medical Service Career Ladder would produce more job interest and felt utilization by members of this career fie¹d.



The airmen would have more job stability across assignments, yet each shredout would still possess sufficient variability to allow the individual to perform a wide range of tasks. The most common comment made by Medical Service personnel surveyed related to the dissatisfaction of being reassigned to either outpatient or inpatient service after having completed a tour in the other. Their consensus was that cross service reassignment was a waste of talent and experience, and it appears to be the major irritant within the career field. By creating separate shredouts this irritant should be either eliminated or significantly reduced.

Other frequently stated comments were: (a) the Medical Services Specialist is not given enough responsibility for inpatient care, and (b) they were frequently delegated janitorial tasks with little opportunity to interact with either patients or professional medical personnel. Thus, they were not afforded the opportunity to learn on the job nor perform the tasks they were trained to perform. The first complaint, while worthy of note, may alleviate itself with the advent of an all volunteer medical force. If there is a reduction in the number of professional medical personnel, the enlisted, technical personnel would be the natural

group to take up the slack. From the present survey it appears that they are more than willing to accept any additional responsibility and are eager to learn new tasks to improve patient care. The complaint of being relegated to janitorial tasks might well be alleviated by increased civilianization of janitorial services. This must be considered if the Medical Service Specialist is expected to accept a greater role in the Air Force health care system. The clustering and identification of job types for the Medical Service Utilization Field was highly successful using the Nurses Job Inventory. Using the same inventory for related enlisted and officer career fields will allow a combined analysis of both fields. This is expected to permit a useful differentiation of jobs and indicate any commonality of tasks performed by the groups. This type of information should provide a significant impact in defining appropriate areas of responsibility, efficient manpower utilization procedures, and improved satisfaction and retention of both officers and NCOs in the Medical Service and Nursing career fields. More research is being accomplished in directly comparing the officer and enlisted jobs, and these dual comparative analyses will be reported in succeeding reports.

Table 1. Distribution of Sample Across Major
Air Commands

мс	N	MC	N	MC	N
AAD	27	AFSC	104	PACAF	109
ADC	50	ATC	295	SAC	470
AFCS	15	AU	43	TAC	324
AFLC	75	HQ COMD	50	USAFA	1
AFRES	2	HQ USAF	13	USAFE	117
		MAC	247	USAFSO	9
				UNKNOWN	45

Table 2. Distribution of Duty AFSC's For Total Sample

AFSC	N
90230	417
90250	1,259
90270	274
92092	32

Table 3. Grade Distribution of Total Sample

	Grade	N	
	E1	2	
	E2	100	
	E3	862	
	E4	862 448	
	E5	293	
	E6	140	
	E7	68	
	E8	20	
•	E9	2	



Fig. 1. Diagram of Major Clusters and Job Types

		.16 .88 .59 .28 .10 .95 .61	288 988 947 15 906 855 80	.36 20 .92 .47 .03 .57 .51 .64	71 30 21 69 17 65
	.28 .24 .16 .97 .97 .86 .86	22 7. 29 88. 99 94. 6 10.	.66 11.8 .59 12.4 .59 13.0 .59 13.5 .59 13.5	56 15 56 15 56 17 55 17 54 18 53 18 53 19	.51 20.71 .50 21.21 .48 21.69 .48 22.11
	1.53 1 1.35 1 1.39 1 1.20 0 1.30 0	.02 .02 .03 .03 .06	7.90 7.90 7.90 7.90 7.90 9.90	0.882 0.91 0.986 0.91 1.02 0.94 0.79 0.79	0.90 0.90 0.80 0.88 0.88 0.86
	63.62 63.12 63.32 75.03 67.08		29.82 26.93 23.76 56.16 57.01 24.01	67.39 61.62 64.48 54.16 57.72 57.72 54.00 66.23 48.53	53.9c 54.90 57.06 58.77
D-ISK DUIY/INSTITLE	A 2 ANSWER FOLLPHOLE CALLS FOR OUR FROM PATIFICATE OR HOSPITAL STREE FACERS (2) B 181 TAKE AS ASCROSS BLOOD PRESSURES (333) B 182 TAKE AS ASCROSS PULSES, FOLDERATORES ASD RESPINATIONS (331) B 71 CLEAN AND MAINTAIN EQUIPMENT (220) B 133 PERESKA GENERAL HOUSEKEEPING DUTIES (202) A 66 LABIL SOUGHESS AS FILL DUT LAPORATORY SPICIMEN REQUEST FORM (06)	101 PREPARE CONSOLIATION REQUESTS OR LY SCIPS to ADMINISTER LYPRAYCICULAR W DICATIONS (165) 35 APPLY DINDICES (164) 84 FOLD ON COURT LINE, (237) 70 CHAIGE ORECSINGS (214) 77 COLUECT AND LARFE LY CIATYS SUCH AS URING, FROM PATTERIS (226)	E 63 TAKE ELECTRICARTIOGRAPH (EKG) FACINGS (46.) A 6 ASSIST AVITATES IN PLACING FELEPHONE CALLS (6) B 74 CLEAN PATTEMY CARE UNTI (2.23) A 65 INVENTURY JUPPLIES (6) A 18 CCARDINATE WITH PHYSICIAN REGARDINA PATTEMY CARE (18) B 73 CLEAN MINGR SURGERY ON EXAMINATION ROOMS (22.2) B 152 PREPARE PATTEMIS FOR PHYSICIAN EXAMINATION DO	TREATMENT (304) SET UP UR USE CXYGEN EQUIPMENT (325) ADMINISTER FIRST AID (161) ADMINISTER FRAL REDICATIONS (174) MAKE BEDS OTHER THAT POSTOPERATIVE UR RECONTAINE BEDS OTHER THAT POSTOPERATIVE UR RECONTAINE SEQUESTS TO CENTRAL STERILE SUPPLYCENT (2.5) TAKE CULTURES TO DEFERMINE THE EXISTENCE OF DISEASE-PRODUCING GROANISHS (459) SET UP UR USE SUCTION EQUIPMENT (326) COLLECT FOOD TRAYS OR SERVING UNITS (227) COORDINATE WITH MANDMASTER (NOUIC) REGARDIS	A 81 DATENT NEW PATTENTS TO HOSPITAL RULES AND FACILITIES (81) B 3 ADMINISTER CEN PALS OF URLIALS (152) B 27 ADMINISTER SURCOTAVEOUS HEDICATIONS (176) B 190 PRANSPORT PATTENTS ON LIFTERS (339) B 191 DISPUSE OF CONTAMINATED HATTENTS (231)

10



Table 5. Descriptive Task Data for Major Clusters and Subclusters

		Nu mber	Average Number Of	Numi A G	per of Tasks Perfeiven Percent of	ormed Fime
Gro Num		of Members	Tasks Performed	25%	50%	100%
GRP	123	521	134.58	29	74	596
GRP	268	710	154.14	32	79	598
GRP	64	124	58.58	15	41	427
GRP	55	186	100.15	28	72	564
GRP	151	22	82.82	13	34	322
GRP	21	203	34.96	13	38	483
GRP	13	32	29.13	6	18	285

Table 6. Descriptive Background Data for Major Clusters and Subclusters

Group Number	Average Grade	Average Equipment With Which Familiar	Average Education Level	Job Interest (1-7 Scale)	Felt Utilization (1-7 Scale)	Percent Assigned CONUS
GRP 123	4.2	26.6	12.8	5.35	4.03	76
GRP 268	3.4	26.2	12.6	5.07	3.56	88
GRP 64	3.0	17.3	12.7	4.80	3.04	85
GRP 55	5.5	33.5	12.7	5.10	3.99	87
GRP 151	5.6	/ 27.5	12.2	5.27	3.82	55
GRP 21	3.9	21.8	12.6	4.74	3.18	85
GRP 13	4.0	22.3	12.6	4.23	2.86	94

REFERENCES

AF Manual 39.1. Airmen classification manual. Washington, D.C.: Department of the Air Force, 29 December 1969.

AF Manual 35-2. Occupational analysis procedures for conducting occupational surveys and Air Force specialty evaluations. Washington, D.C.: Department of the Air Force, 9 July 1968.

Archer, W.B. Constitution of group job descriptions from occupational survey data PRL-TR-66-12, AD-653 543. Lackland AFB, Tex.: Personnel Research Laboratory, Aerospace Medical Division, December 1966.

Christal, R.E., & Ward, J.H., Jr. The MAXOF clustering model. In M. Lorr & S.B. Lyerly (Eds.), Proceedings of the conference on cluster analysis of multivariate data. New Orleans, La.: Catholic University of America, June 1967, 11.02-11.45.

Morsh, J.E., & Archer, W.B. Procedural guide for conducting occupational surveys in the United States Air Force. PRL-TR-67-11, AD-664 036.

Lackland AFB, Tex.: Personnel Research Laboratory, Aerospace Medical Division, September 1967.



PRIMARY JOB TYPE IDENTIFIERS SCLECTED BY PERCENT OF MEMBERS PERFORMING

PRIJOB MAJOR CLUSTERS

	- ,	-TSK DESCRIPTION	GRP123 G1 GRP268	RP26E	4P064	GRPD55	RP15	RP321	GRP213 I	
	∢	2 ANSWER TELEPHONE CALLS FUR OR FROM PATIENTS OR HOSPITAL	85	6	19	88	32	92	31	
	4	SIAFF MCMBEKS (2)	63	0	17	53	1	27	~	
	۷ ∢	200000	70	20	7	ς α	† 0 1	- 86	י מ מ	
	ξ <	DESCRING BOLLY LIES MILL CHIEN SECTIONS	0 0	27	, ~	4 00	, 4	2 2) -	
1	۲ <	COUNCEL PERSONNEL ON PERSONNEL PROBLEMS	, ,	2	n; c	, a	9 6	71	10	
	ζ	STANDARDS (24)	.	1	ı)			
•	٨	DETERMINE WORK PRICRITIE	43	38	13	83	41	52	31	
	۷	P OR IMPROVE WURK METHODS OR PROC	50	46	16	84	2 C	38	52	
:	` ▼	DIRECT OR SUPERVISE THE UTILI	41	36	9	83	32	21	31	
i		,					1			
	4	UTY PERFURMANC	53	21	m	81	41	13	9	
	4	LABEL SPECIMENS AND FILL OUT	83	83	26	21	Z.	21	91	
		FORMS (66)					,			
1	₹	81 ORIENT NEW PATIENTS TO HOSPITAL RULES AND FACILITIES (81)	54	95	21	4 0	S	12	0	
	⋖	ORIENT VISITORS TO WARD (82)	12	84	25	۳	.:	-	m	
	⋖.	SULTATION REQUESTS OR	83	99.	31	23	.,	75	9	
	6	ADMINISTER BED PANS OR URINALS (33	95	53	2û	6 8	7	၀	
	æ	ADMINISTER COMPLETE BED BATHS (1)	6	88	27	11	23	-	0	
) !	œ	EAR DROPS (156)	81	64	10	19	45	14	m	
	30	ADMINISTER EAR I	68	20	9	91	18	20	٣	
	62	ENEMAS (158)	46	85	23	16	14	Ś	0	
	60	EYE C	87	15	53	52	45	15	m	
	60	1 ADMINISTER	87	53	16	18	27	11	0	
	æ	ADMINISTER FIRST	66	65	15	31	20	30	13	
į	æ	6 ADMINISTER INTRAM	35	83	48	37	55	36	9	
	20	9 ADMINISTER IPPB (INTERMITTANT POSITIV	51	88	34	56	χ	m	m	
		THERAPY (168)								
	æ	ORAL ME	7.7	87	56	59	89	20	0	
	2	ADMINISTER PARTIÁL BED	15	89	28	11	23	m	0	
	æ	ADMINISTER SUBCUTANEOUS MEDICAT	94	65	13	22	90	25	~	
	œ	MOOM	85	65	7	15	18	S	m	
	œ	AMBULATE PA	35	46	39	13	22	m	0	
	62	APPLY BANDAGFS (184)	76		56	31	20	28	9	
:	മ ്പ	APPLY COLO BY ICE CAP OR COMPRESSES (190)	72	83	52		27	9	0	
	6	APPLY HEAT BY CRADLE, HOT WATER BOTTLE OR	38	34	22	15	27	7	0	
i	ഖ	APPLY HEAT BY K-PAD OR CHEMICAL HEATING PA	19	35	35	50	41	7	m ·	
	c	APPLY SPLINTS (198)	84	28	7	1	O 1	10	• •	
	<u>ت</u>	ARRANGE FURNITURE IN PATIENT'S ROOM (199)	S.	85	47	21	ı,	٦,	٠ ،	
	œ ·	ASSIST PATIENTS TO TURN, COUGH AND	:1	92	5 8	15	41	-	m ·	
j	a	CHANGE DRESSINGS (219)	. 93	87	-21	, 50	20	20	<u>س</u>	
	6 2	AINTAIN EQUIPMENT (22")	36	16	72	38	22	34	14	
!	œ,	CLEAN MINDA SURGERY OR		75	42	17	. ر	27	13	
	an c	CLEAN PATIENT CARE	45		87	2B	ete sa	∞ Γ	W .	
	<u> </u>	MARU UILLIY RUUM (225)	15	4	\$	56	.o	7	ο ,	
	20.	COLLECT AND	19	95	65	53	v	16	ت ت	
•	; cc	COLLECT FORD TRAVE OR SERVING UNITS (9	2.5	20	36	-	, c	
	ω ω	SE OF CONTAMINATED MATERIALS (23")	56	87	69	53	27	<u>' *</u> 1	. 25	٠
										ŀ

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

JOI 072 PAGE AIPENDIX B. TASK DIFFERENCE DESCRIPTION BETWEEN GROUPS 132 and 268 LTFELLENCE BETAEL 9 GRP L23 AND GAP 258

JROUP DIFFERENCE MED SVC 902x0

173	26 B
н	11
STAGE	522 TO 1231 GROUP STAGE
SROUP	GROUP
521	1231
2	2
-	275
FRUM	FRUM
URDER	ORDER
521 KPATH URDER FRJM	KPATH
129	125
R	H
SRP123 MEMBERS =	MEMBERS
3RP123	5RP268
	1



BEST COPY AVAILABLE											- ا	•	:															1					•,						ı	į				ı						
-38.63	L. 1	07.65-	-47.19	-4-1-47	-41.30	-41.63	-42.69	-45.43	-45.65	-46.00	-46.13	-47.35	-50.44		100.05		-54.15	-56.52	-57.37	-57.58	-59.02	-59.37	* .	0.00	60.09-	-67.58		-01-03	-60.04	-68 07	-63.03	-69.57	-70.07	-70.81		-70.84	-/1-05	-72 55	73 50	-74.57	-75.10	-76.25	-76.90	-78.53	-79.36	-86.82	-81.25	-82.77	18478-	i
46.48	86.03	10.68	62.11	F1.41	66.06	52.96	48.45	8,3	55.63	55.21	Τ.	52.54	63.42	•	63.10	06.00	57.61	59.86	68.31	76.20	63.24	77.61	60	24.63	29.99	59.51		74.00	94.00	92.25	74.79	82.42	81.97	74.65		84.38	35.52	01.00	70 15	80,15	87.39	92.39	92.25	87.75	93.94	36.20	5.5	ις . (3-4	94.79	
8.45	44.71	45.87	1 02	10.92	24.76	11.32	5.76	2.88	96.6	0	37.81	5.18	86*6	•	12.68	00.01	3.45	3.84	1:.94	18.62	4.22	18.23	77 76	***	6.53	3.64		22.01	24.40	24.18	5.76	13,24	~			13.24	•	10.00	25.5	• •	7.29			•	14.59		11.71	2.30	4.80	
SMITTER COLOSCOMY 13816ATIONS		DMINISTER EVEMAS (158)	CUBSERVE AND REPURI CHANGES IN CONDITION OF MATTERIS (2007)	OPERVISE THE SERVING OF FOUN TO PATTENTS TO PROPERTY OF THE THE THE PERVISE TO PERVISE T	REPARE OR MAINIAIN 14FTHENI RECORDS (100) REPARE PATTENTS ENR AEROMEDICAL EVACUATION (30)	I DENTIFY OR CARE FOR POSTOPERATIVE HEMURRHAGE (241)	AKE WARD RUUNDS WITH PHYSICIANS (260)	PREPARE AND MAINTAIN NURSING CARE PLANS (299)	ASSIST PATIENT WITH POSTURAL DRAINAGE (204)	REPARE INPATIENT 1.0. BANDS (OTHER THAM INFANT) (1.3	APPLY HEAT BY CHADLE, HOT WATER BOTTLE OR COMPRESSES (192)	ET UP AND REGULATE CROUPETTE (319)	ECEIVE AND DELIVER	PATIENTS (117)		EKFUKM LEKMINAL DISINFECTION PRUCE	MAKE WARD RUUNDS ALUNE (258)	AKE ENTRIES IN WARD CA	Ive	i	RUER OR COURDINATE PATIENT DIETS WITH F	APPLY HEAT BY ELECTRICAL HEATING PAD OR THERMAL	BLANKET (193)	AMBOLATE PALIENTS (182)		EACH PATIENTS ABOUT POSTUPERATIVE RECOVERY PROCEDURES	AN EXIKEMILY MUVEMENIN, DEEP BKEAIHING UK COUGHING (33	ADDINISIER BED PAYS UN UKINALS, (1921)	Y	OBJECT ALIENTATION OF THE PARTY	FAN WARD KITCHEN (224)	FRECRM POS	ERFORM URAL HYGIENE (284)	MAKE WARD ROUNDS WITH WARD NURSES, SUPERVISOR OR CHIEF	NURSE (259)	EKFORM ISOLATIO	CRIENI VISITURS TO HARD (82)	40 V 100	ET 110 1601 AT 10M ON ITS 12241	DAINSTER PARTIAL RED	FED INCAPACITATED PATIENTS (ARRANGE FURVITURE IN PATIENT'S KOOM (199)	SSIST PATTENTS TO TURN, COUGH AND D	DMINISTER COMPLETE BED, BATHS (155)	LEAN WARD UTILITY	AKE POSTOPERATIVE	EASURE AND RECURD INTAKE AND OUTPUT (261)	FRVE	SERVE PRESCRIBED DIELS TO PATTEMIS (SIB) COLLECT FOOD TRAYS UR SERVING UNITS (227)	
3	148	σ.	671	5 5	154	92	111		55	103	43	170	113		74	751	109	105	91	139	19	44	,;	2	Ξ.	168	ſ	٠ .	7.4	- 2	7.2	138	135	110		134	7 i c	7	175	24	87	. C.	- 56	•	16	1:6	112	168	64 78 78	
œ	0	30 ·	ж •	∢ •	4 CC	8	œ	80	ဆ	4	60	8	4		d	23	6	8	60	മ	∢	æ		е.	∢ '	1 0	c	ا	1	> <	(62	2 12	ω	ω		αo ·	4	o a	2 a	3 22	7	20	8	2	80	ω	හ -	82	2 0 40	
																																	1	6					+ :				-	i						

